

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/529,029	07/29/2005	Harry Wallther	ALBIHN W 3.3-459	9007	
530 75	10/13/2006		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C		
KRUMHOLZ & 600 SOUTH A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD,	NJ 07090		3634		
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/529,029	WALLTHER, HAR	WALLTHER, HARRY			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 03 Au	igust 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .							
3) Since this application is i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are per	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subje	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	•	ity documents have been re	eceived in this Nationa	l Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw			Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7.31.06.	(L10/2R/08)	6) Other:					

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese pat. 285146.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese pat. '146 in view of Harks, Jr. Japanese pat. '146 shows the claimed device with the exception of the pair of telescopic rail and the joint device. Hawks shows at leas two telescopic rails and joint device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Japanese pat. '146 to comprise rails and joint device, as taught by Hawks, to enable adjustment and pivotal attachment.

Claims 15-17,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Werner in view of Muller. Werner shows actuating elements at 30 and 32, as set forth in claim 15, and actuating elements at 30 and 25, as set forth in claim 19, the claimed difference being the structure on which his safety device is supported on. Muller shows the claimed supporting structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Werner with a supporting structure, as taught by Muller, to provide for the safety of a user on a scaffolding structure.

Claims 15-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole in view of Anderson. Cole shows actuating elements at 70 and 73, the claimed difference being the structure on which his safety device is supported on. Anderson shows the claimed supporting structure having interconnecting posts 46. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Cole with a supporting structure, as taught by Anderson, to provide for the safety of a user on a scaffolding structure.

Claims 18,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amon in view of Brattland. Amon shows actuating elements at E, the claimed difference being the structure on which his safety device is

Page 4

supported on. Brattland shows the claimed supporting structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Amon with a supporting structure, as taught by Brattland, to provide for the safety of a user on a ground supported scaffolding structure.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/3/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to Japanese pat. '146, pat. '146 shows safety posts 1 with safety rail at 12 attached to scaffold posts 14 and interconnection post 16 by couplings 6 and 4, thus showing all the claimed elements.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 10/529,029

Art Unit: 3634

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS